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## INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## JFEED BACLK

Feedback is a compelling influence on learner achievement. When teachers seek or at least are open to what learners know, what they understand, where they make errors, when they have misconceptions when they are not engaged- then teaching and learning can be synchronised and powerful. Feedback to teachers makes learning
visible
Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning, Oxford, UK: Routledge

Govt. Girls PG College is committed to the highest standards of educational and other provision for its students, and encourages students to provide the Institute with thoughtful and constructive feedback. The institute believes that feedback is a key element of the incremental process of ongoing learning and assessment. Providing frequent and ongoing feedback is a significant means of improving achievement in learning. It involves the provision of information about aspects of understanding and performance and can be given by learners to teachers, peers, parents, employers, alumni and other stakeholders or even oneself.

Effective feedback also assists the learner to reflect on their learning and their learning strategies so they can make adjustments to make better progress in their learning. Thus, gathering student feedback about teaching generally comes at the end of the course through student rating forms.

There are also other ways to gather feedback that may be more effective and timelier. Formative feedback on teaching (feedback that is collected solely for the purpose of continual improvement) is an important component of advancing teaching practice. Getting feedback from students during the term can be a good way to find out how things are going, to give students a chance to express their feelings in a medium other than the end-of-course student evaluations, and to allow any changes in teaching to be made while students can still benefit. For this, Q\&A, informal discussions, problem solving, and other respective response mechanisms etc. are used to get prompt feedback. On the other hand, survey method through Questionnaire, interview schedule, Google Forms etc. is used at the end of the course to get feedback from the outgoing students.

Nevertheless, no matter what method is chosen, students are encouraged to provide constructive feedback, to ignore aspects which cannot be changed (time/size of class), and try to let them know their feedback is taken seriously. Therefore, it is important to follow up on their feedback. Students will likely be more constructive if they know that the feedback is being used actually to improve their learning experience.

While effective feedback is designed to determine a learner's level of understanding and skill development to plan the next steps towards achieving the learning intentions or goals. Similarly, feedback from alumni is considered to be even more effective as it comes from students who have moved out in the outer world to assess the utility of curriculum, judge the institute and teachers with more authority and autonomy.

- Feedback from employers gives an idea of objective assessment of the levels of attainment of outcomes, skill development and real world expectations.
- Feedback from parents acts like a societal mirror and gives an idea about personal growth of the students outside the college and the image of the institute.

Govt. Girls PG College has a decadal history of taking structured feedback from the stakeholders. In the early years the feedback from the students were taken manually in a Question Schedules distributed to all the students in the final year/Semester classes of UG and PG. The Question Schedules returned duly filled in were manually processed to generate graphs and tables for analysis.

* Feedback from teachers was gathered through discussions during meetings earlier.
* Feedback from parents was collected in the Parent Teacher Meet.
* Feedback from the Alumni was collected during Alumni Meet or Student Tracking Meets
* Feedback from the employers was captured by the employed students who narrated their performance and satisfaction level of their employers.
* Post COVID Online Google Forms eased the whole process of collection, processing and analysis of the Feedback of all the stakeholders.


## Methodology

## Data Collection Technique

General opinion feedback is obtained by the teachers orally from all the students intermittently in the classes.

A Survey also is conducted to collect primary data through administering a Structured Questionnaire

## Sampling

Data were collected through Questionnaires on the basis of Proportionate Stratified Random Sampling method. The outgoing students of the last semester of UG and PG classes were the units of study in this process. The Sample of students was selected from each Stratum of Arts, Science, Commerce, and Home Science randomly in proportion to their occurrence in the strata. The Questionnaires were then administered on the sample.

# FEEDBACK 

 2021-22
## TO

## 2017-18

| Students' Feedback 2021-22 | 6-12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teachers' Feedback on NEP 2020 ,2021-22 | 13-19 |
| Employers' Feedback 2021-22 | 20-22 |
| Alumni Feedback 2021-22 | 23-26 |
| Parents' Feedback 2021-22 | 27-34 |
| Students' Feedback 2020-21 | 35-41 |
| Parents' Feedback 2020-21 | 42-45 |
| Teachers' Feedback 2020-21 | 46-50 |
| Alumni Feedback 2020-21 | 51-55 |
| Student Feedback 2019-20 | 56-62 |
| Teachers' Feedback 2019-20 | 63-65 |
| Alumni Feedback 2019-20 | 66-69 |
| Student Feedback 2018-19 | 70-73 |
| Students' Feedback 2017-18 | 74-77 |

## Students' Feedback 2021-22

An Online survey was conducted for the students in the year 2021-22.
The Questionnaire contained questions related to the Curriculum, Teaching Learning and Evaluation and the facilities available in the college, problems and suggestions etc. to which 266 to 270 students responded. The Table, Graph and Analysis of the survey results and the action taken are as follows:


Sequence of Units इकाईयों का क्रम $\square$ Copy
266 responses


Prior knowledge of Course Outcomes कोर्स से मिलने वाले लाभ का पूर्व ज्ञान

270 responses


O Excellent उल्कृष्ट

- Very Good बहुत अद्धा
- Good अच्छा
- Average सामान्य
- Poor !़राब

Extent of syllabus covered in class कक्षा में सिलेबस को पूर्ण करने का स्तरCopy
270 responses


- Excellent उल्कृष्ट

Very Good बहुत अच्छा

- Good अन्द्धा
- Average सामान्म
- Poor ख़राब

Utility of syllabus in practical life व्यावहारिक जीवन में पाठ्यक्रम की उपयोगिता

269 responses


- Excellent उलृष्ट

O Very Good बहुत अच्छा

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब

Level of Teaching in class टीचर के पढ़ाने का स्तरCopy

270 responses


- Excellent उल्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा

Good अच्छा
Average सामान्प

- Poor ख़राब

Level of interaction in class कक्षा में चर्चा का स्तर

269 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष

O Very Good बहुत अच्छा
-Good अच्छा
Average सामान्प

- Poor ख़राब

270 responses


Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] (Internal Evaluation) सी सी ईCopy (आतंरिक मूल्यांकन) के मोड

270 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अन्छा
- Average सामान्प
- Poor ?़राब

Fairness in CCE Evaluation सी सी ई मूल्यांकन में उचित न्यायCopy

270 responses


## - Excellent उल्कृष्ट

O Very Good बहुत अद्छा

- Good अद्छा
- Average सामान्प
- Poor ख़राब

Library Facilities लायब्रेरी सुविधा

270 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा
- Average सामान्प
- Poor ख़राब

- Excellent उलृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अद्धा

Good अच्छा

- Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़राब

Extra Curricular Activities in College (Sports, Literary and Cultural activities) महाविद्यालयCopy में पाठ्येतर गतिविधियाँ (खेलकूद, साहिल्यिक, सांस्कृतिक)

270 responses

Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छा
Good अच्छा
Average सामान्य
Poor ख़राब

NCC, NSS etc. एन सी सी, एन एस एस आदिCopy
270 responses


O Excellent उलृष्ट

- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा
- Average सामान्प
- Poor ख़राब

270 responses


Toilets शौचालय
270 responses


\author{

- Excellent उक्कृष्ट <br> - Very Good बहुत अच्छा <br> Good अच्छा <br> - Average सामान्म <br> - Poor ख़राब
}


## Analysis

## Academic Content of the Syllabus

$40.7 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as excellent
$34.7 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as very good $22.0 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as good

## Sequence of Units

$32.3 \%$ of the students rated the sequence of the units as excellent
$34.2 \%$ of the students rated the sequence of the units as very good
$30.5 \%$ of the students rated the sequence of the units as good

## Prior knowledge of Course Outcomes

36.7\% of the students rated Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as excellent $31.1 \%$ of the students rated Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as very good 24.1\% of the students rated Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as good 6.7\% of the students rated Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as average

## Extent of syllabus covered in class

$35.2 \%$ of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus coverage in class as excellent $33.3 \%$ of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus coverage in class as very good 23.7\% of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered in class as good Utility of syllabus in practical life
35.7\% of the students rated Utility of Syllabus in practical life as excellent
27.1\% of the students rated Utility of Syllabus in practical life as very good
27.5\% of the students rated Utility of Syllabus in practical life as good
7.4\% of the students rated Utility of Syllabus in practical life as average Level of Teaching in class
44.8\% of the students rated Level of teaching in class as excellent 35.6\% of the students rated Level of teaching in class as very good $14.8 \%$ of the students rated Level of teaching in class as good Level of interaction in class
36.1\% of the students rated Level of interaction in class as excellent 27.1\% of the students rated Level of interaction in class as very good 26.4\% of the students rated Level of interaction in class as good 8.9\% of the students rated Level of interaction in class as average Online Education Facility
30.7\% of the students rated Online Education Facility as excellent $32.2 \%$ of the students rated Online Education Facility as very good 25.9\% of the students rated Online Education Facility as good
6.7\% of the students rated Online Education Facility as average

Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] (Internal Evaluation)
$42.2 \%$ of the students rated the Modes of CCE as excellent
$33.0 \%$ of the students rated the Modes of CCE as very good
$18.1 \%$ of the students rated the Modes of CCE as good
Fairness in CCE Evaluation
36.7\% of the students rated fairness of CCE as excellent
$33.3 \%$ of the students rated fairness of CCE as very good
$22.2 \%$ of the students rated fairness of CCE as good

## Library Facilities

36.7\% of the students rated Library Facilities as Excellent 28.5\% of the students rated Library Facilities as very good 23.3\% of the students rated Library Facilities as good 8.1\% of the students rated Library Facilities as average

## Computer Facilities

31.1\% of the students rated Computer Facilities as Excellent
$22.6 \%$ of the students rated Computer Facilities as very good
27.0\% of the students rated Computer Facilities as good
$13.7 \%$ of the students rated Computer Facilities as average

## Extra-Curricular Activities in College (Sports, Literary and Cultural activities)

42.2 \% of the students rated Extra Curricular Facilities as Excellent
29.3 \% of the students rated Extra Curricular Facilities as very good
19.6 \% of the students rated Extra Curricular Facilities as good

NCC, NSS etc.
43.0\% of the students rated NCC, NSS etc. as Excellent
27.4 \% of the students rated NCC, NSS etc. as very good
21.5 \% of the students rated NCC, NSS etc. as good

Drinking Water Facility
42.6 \% of the students rated Drinking Water Facility as Excellent
25.9 \% of the students rated Drinking Water Facility as very goo
20.7 \% of the students rated Drinking Water Facility as good
6.7\% of the students rated Drinking Water Facility as good

## Toilet Facilities

30.0 \% of the students rated Toilet Facilities as Excellent
25.9 \% of the students rated Toilet Facilities as Very good
30.0 \% of the students rated Toilet Facilities as good

## Teachers’ Feedback on NEP 2020 ,2021-22

Madhya Pradesh was one of the pioneering states to have launched National Education Policy 2020 from the Session 2021-22. The introduction of CBCS, Multidisciplinary education, Academic Freedom of multiple entry and exit points, Academic Bank of Credits, Vocational Courses, Projects/Internships/CDC etc. changed the whole system of education starting from First Year of Graduation. An online Survey was conducted to get the feedback of teachers on all these aspects.

The Pie Charts and analysis of the same are as given below:

What will be the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the quality of $\square$ Copy education?राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 का शिक्षा की गुणवत्ता पर क्या प्रभाव पड़ेगा?
68 responses


What will be the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the quality of research?
राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 का अनुसंधान की गुणवत्ता पर क्या प्रभाव पड़ेगा?
68 responses


What will be the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the level ofCopy employment? राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 का रोजगार के स्तर पर क्या प्रभाव पड़ेगा?

## 68 responses



What will be the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the level of
Copy internationalization of education ? राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 का शिक्षा के अंतर्राष्ट्रीयकरण के स्तर पर क्या प्रभाव पड़ेगा?

[^0]

Is the CBCS (Choice Based Credit System) under National Education Policy (NEP)
2020 with Flexible Curriculum beneficial for students? क्या लचीले पाठ्यक्रम के साथ राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 के तहत सीबीसीएस (च्वाइस बेस्ड क्रेडिट सिस्टम) छात्रों के लिए फायदेमंद है?

68 responses


- Yes - No - Yet to see the impact

Is multidisciplinary education under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 feasible for your institute? क्या आपके संस्थान के लिए राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 के तहत बहु-विषयक शिक्षा संभव है?

68 responses


Integrating Vocational Education in the curriculum under National Education PolicyCopy (NEP) 2020 would increase alignment of employment opportunities with required skills. राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 के तहत व्यावसायिक शिक्षा को पाठ्यक्रम में शामिल करने से आवश्यक कौशल के साथ रोजगार के अवसरों का संरेखण बढ़ेगा।

68 responses


- Yes
- No

O May be in the long run

Incorporation of Projects/Internships/Community Development Work in the curriculumCopy from the First Year of Graduation under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 would improve the level of output of the students till the final year? राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 के तहत स्रातक के प्रथम वर्ष से पाठ्यक्रम में परियोजनाओं/इंटर्नशिप/सामुदायिक विकास कार्यों को शामिल करने से अंतिम वर्ष तक छात्रों के उत्पादन के स्तर में सुधार होगा?

68 responses


- No - May be

Students in your institution opted multi-faculty course combinations due to no hardCopy separation between Arts and Science under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 ? राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 के तहत कला और विज्ञान के बीच कोई कठिन अलगाव नहीं होने के कारण आपके संस्थान के छात्रों ने बहु संकाय पाठ्यक्रम संयोजन चुना है?
68 responses


Discontinuing MPhil and a Master's Degree or a Four Year Graduation Degree withCopy research for PhD Programme under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a welcome step? राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 के तहत एमफिल को बंद करना और पीएचडी कार्यक्रम के लिए शोध के साथ मास्टर डिग्री या चार साल की स्रातक डिग्री आवश्यक बनाना एक स्वागत योग्य कदम है

68 responses


Curriculum Designed for the First Year under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is well planned and well constructed राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 के तहत प्रथम वर्ष के लिए तैयार किया गया पाठ्यचर्या सुनियोजित और अच्छी तरह से निर्मित है
68 responses


Inclusion of E-Content and Online education under National Education Policy (NEP)
2020 will improve access and quality of higher education.राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति (एनईपी) 2020 के तहत ई-सामग्री और ऑनलाइन शिक्षा को शामिल करने से उच्च शिक्षा की पहुंच और गुणवत्ता में सुधार
होगा।
68 responses


## ANALYSIS OF TEACHERS FEEDBACK 2021-22

## Impact of NEP on the Quality of Education

57.4 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the quality of Education as excellent.
27.9 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the quality of Education as very good.
13.2 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the quality of Education as good.

## Impact of NEP on the Quality of Research

48.5 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the quality of Research as excellent.

25 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the quality of Research as very good.
23.5 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the quality of Research as good.

## Impact of NEP on the level of employment

47.1 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the level of employment as excellent.
26.5 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the level of employment as very good.
23.5 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the level of Employment as good.

## Impact of NEP on the level of Internationalization of education

51.1 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the level of Internationalization as excellent.
23.5 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the level of Internationalization as very good.
20.6 \% of the teachers rated the impact of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on the level of Internationalization as good.

## CBCS under NEP with flexible curriculum beneficial for students

82.4 \% of the teachers agreed that the CBCS under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 with flexible curriculum will be beneficial for students .
16.2 \% of the teachers stated that it is yet to be seen whether CBCS under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 with flexible curriculum will be beneficial for students

## Multidisciplinary Education under the NEP

70.6 \% of the teachers rated yes the Multidisciplinary under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 feasible for our Institute
27.9 \% of the teachers rated that our Institutes is already a Multidisciplinary College under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020.

## Academic Freedom of Multiple Entry or Exit Option under NEP

$50.0 \%$ of the teachers rated the academic freedom of multiple entry or exit option under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 as excellent.
25.0 \% of the teachers rated the academic freedom of multiple entry or exit option under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 as very good.
23.5 \% of the teachers rated the academic freedom of multiple entry or exit option under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 as good.

## Integrating Vocational Education in the Curriculum under NEP

88.2 \% of the teachers agreed that integrating vocational education in curriculum under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 will increase alignment of employment opportunities.
11.8 \% of the teachers stated that Integrating Vocational Education in curriculum under National Education Policy (NEP) 202 might increase alignment of employment opportunities in long run.

## Incorporation of Project, Internships, Community Development work in the curriculum from the first year of graduation under NEP

85.3 \% of the teachers agreed with the idea that incorporation of Project, Internship, Community Development work in curriculum from the first year of graduation under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 will improve the level of output of the students till the final year.
$14.7 \%$ of the teachers were not sure whether the Incorporation of project, Internship, Community Development work in curriculum from the first year of graduation under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 will improve the level of output of the students till the final year.

## Students in your institution opted multi-faculty course combinations due to fluid movement between Arts and Science under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020

80.9 \% of the teachers rated yes the students in our institution opted multi - faculty course combinations due to no hard separation between Arts and Science under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020.
16.2 \% of the teachers rated the very few students in our institution is opted multi - faculty course combinations due to no hard separation between Arts ans Science under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020.

Discontinuing MPhil and a Master's Degree or a Four Year Graduation Degree with research for PhD Programme under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a welcome step?
97.1 \% of the teachers rated yes the Discontinuing MPhil and a Master's Degree or a Four year Graduation Degree with research for PhD Programme under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a welcome step.

Curriculum Designed for the First Year under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is well planned and well-structured
86.8 \% of the teachers agreed that the Curriculum Designed for First year under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is well-planned and well-structured
10.3 \% of the teachers were not sure whether the Curriculum Designed for First year under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is well-planned and well-structured

Inclusion of E-Content and online education under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 will improve access to and quality of higher education.
27.9 \% of teachers agreed that online education under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 will improve access to higher education.
23.5\% of teachers agreed that online education under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 will improve quality of higher education.
$48.5 \%$ of teachers agreed that online education under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 will improve both access to and quality of higher education.

## Employers' Feedback 2021-22

A Google Form was sent to the employers of the students and fourteen employers responded to the Feedback Questionnaire. The analysis of the data is as follows:

The employers were asked to rate the students of Govt. Girls PG College, Ujjain working in their Organizations on three scales of Highly Satisfied, Moderately Satisfied and Not Satisfied on the following Parameters

1. General Communication Skills
2. Developing practical solutions to work place problems
3. Working as part of a team
4. Their planning and organization skills
5. Using technology and workplace equipment
6. Technical knowledge/skill
7. Ability to manage/leadership qualities
8. Innovation, creativity
9. Taking up responsibility
10. Ready to learn new things
11. Contributing towards the goal of the organization

Table 1
Parameter-wise Satisfaction of Employers

| Parameters | Highly <br> Satisfied | Moderately <br> Satisfied | Not Satisfied | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Communication Skills | $8(57.14)$ | $5(35.71)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Developing practical solutions <br> to work place problems | $9(64.28)$ | $4(28.57)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Working as part of a team | $11(78.57)$ | $1(7.14)$ | $2(14.28)$ | 14 |
| Their planning and organization <br> skills | $12(85.71)$ | $1(7.14)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Using technology and <br> workplace equipment | $12(85.71)$ | $1(7.14)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Technical knowledge/skill | $9(64.28)$ | $4(35.71)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Ability to manage/leadership <br> qualities | $9(64.28)$ | $4(35.71)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Innovation, creativity | $8(57.14)$ | $5(35.71)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Taking up responsibility | $11(78.57)$ | $2(14.28)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Ready to learn new things | $12(85.71)$ | $1(7.14)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |
| Contributing towards the goal <br> of the organization | $12(85.71)$ | $1(7.14)$ | $1(7.14)$ | 14 |

How satisfied are you with the student/s work performance in your workplace with respect to the following Parameters


## Satisfaction Level with the Students and their Curriculum

How do you rate your overall satisfaction with our students and the curriculum?


## Analysis

The responses show that $85.7 \%$ of the employers are highly satisfied with the performance of the students and expressed positive opinion about their curriculum and studies

The responses show that $7.1 \%$ of the employers are moderately satisfied with the performance of the students and expressed positive opinion about their curriculum and studies

The responses show that 7.1 \% of the employers are not satisfied with the performance of the students and expressed positive opinion about their curriculum and studies

# In all 14 Employers responded to the Feedback Google Form and the names, addresses and Mobile Numbers are as given below: 

Harshita Sharma<br>Tata Consultancy Services LTD<br>UCO Bank<br>Avi Agri Business Limited<br>Omya Fertility Delhi<br>Varsha Rathod<br>Kirti Jain<br>STATE BANK OF INDIA<br>Vikas Dubey<br>DR. ABHIRAJ RAMCHANDANI<br>Madhya Pradesh Gramin Bank<br>Bank Of India<br>ICICI BANK LTD<br>Nikita Kachhawa<br>\section*{Govt Girls Pg College}<br>Garima Park, Gandhinagar, Gujarat<br>Vill Ghonsla, Teh Mahidpur, Dist Ujjain<br>11 Km Barnagar Road, Chandukhedi, Ujjain<br>A1/311 Safdarjung Enclave Nauroji Nagar New Delhi 110029<br>Chintaman Jawasiya<br>Freeganj Ujjain<br>Petlad, District Anand<br>7 Floor Wing A Metro Tower,Annova Solutions Vijay Nagar, Indore<br>35/2, GHTAKARPAR MARG,OPP SS HOSPITAL ,FREEGANJ UJJAIN (M.P.)<br>Ujjain Darwaza, Khachrod<br>Dhabla Hardu<br>Andheri East Mumbai<br>Gave Karondiya, Maxi Road Ujjain , M. P.

## Alumni Feedback 2021-22

Academic Content of the Syllabus पाठ्यक्रम की विषयवस्तुCopy
60 responses


Prior knowledge of Course Outcomes कोर्स से मिलने वाले लाभ का पूर्व ज्ञान

60 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट

Very Good बहुत अच्छ

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब

Extent of syllabus covered in class कक्षा में सिलेबस को पूर्ण करने का स्तर
60 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा
- Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़ाबाब

Utility of syllabus in practical life व्यावहारिक जीवन में पाठ्यक्रम की उपयोगिता

58 responses


> Excellent उत्कृष्ट Very Good बहुत अच्छ Good अच्छा Average सामान्य Poor ख़राब

Sequence of Units इकाईयों का क्रमCopy
60 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट

Very Good बहुत अच्छा
-Good अच्छा

- Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़राब
60 responses

- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अद्छा
- Average सामान्य
- Poor खराब

Level of interaction in class कक्षा में चर्चा का स्तरCopy 60 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट

Very Good बहुत अच्छा
Good अच्छा

- Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़राब

Easy to contact teacher outside class कक्षा से बाहर टीचर से संपर्क की सुविधा 60 responses


Excellent उत्कृष्ट

- Very Good बहुत अच्छा

Good अच्छा

- Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़राब

Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] (Internal Evaluation) सी सी ईCopy (आतंरिक मूल्यांकन) के मोड
60 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा
- Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़राब

Fairness in CCE Evaluation सी सी ई मूल्यांकन में उचित न्याय
$\square$ Cop
60 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा
- Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़राब


## Analysis

## Academic Content of the Syllabus

$45 \%$ of the Alumni rated the academic content of the syllabus as excellent
$38.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated the academic content of the syllabus as very good $15 \%$ of the Alumni rated the academic content of the syllabus as good

## Sequence of Units

$33.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated theSequence of Unitsas excellent $40 \%$ of the Alumni rated theSequence of Unitsas very good 20\% of the Alumni rated theSequence of Unitsas good

## Prior knowledge of Course Outcomes

$45 \%$ of the Alumni rated thePrior knowledge of Course Outcomesas excellent
$23.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated thePrior knowledge of Course Outcomesas excellent $26.7 \%$ of the Alumni rated thePrior knowledge of Course Outcomesas excellent

## Extent of syllabus covered in class

$36.7 \%$ of the Alumni rated the extent of syllabus covered in classas excellent $46.7 \%$ of the Alumni rated the extent of syllabus covered in class as very good $15 \%$ of the Alumni rated the extent of syllabus covered in class as good Utility of syllabus in practical life 27.6\% of the Alumni rated the Utility of syllabus in practical lifeas excellent $27.6 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Utility of syllabus in practical lifeas very good $34.5 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Utility of syllabus in practical lifeas good $10.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Utility of syllabus in practical lifeas average

## Level of Teaching in class

$65 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Level of Teaching in class as excellent 23.3\% of the Alumni rated the Level of Teaching in class as very good 8.3\% of the Alumni rated the Level of Teaching in class as good

## Level of interaction in class

$36.7 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Level of interaction in class as excellent
$43.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Level of interaction in class as excellent $20 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Level of interaction in class as excellent

## Easy to contact teacher outside class

$50 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Easy to contact teacher outside class as excellent
$33.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Easy to contact teacher outside class as very good
$13.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Easy to contact teacher outside class as good

## Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation]

$43.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] as excellent
$45 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] as very good
$10 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] as good

## Fairness in CCE Evaluation

$38.3 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Fairness in CCE Evaluation as excellent $40 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Fairness in CCE Evaluation as very good $15 \%$ of the Alumni rated the Fairness in CCE Evaluation as good

## Parents’ Feedback 2021-22

An Online survey was conducted to get the feedback from parents in the year 2021-22.
The Questionnaire contained questions related to the Cooperation in the admission process by the college, College Atmosphere, Safety and Discipline in College, Location of College, Ease in contact with teachers, Teaching-Learning Quality, Extension Activities like sports and cultural, NSS, NCC, etc and Personality Development of Students, Support Services like canteen, hostel, career guidance etc. and Discipline, Learning, Resources like library, computer, internet facilities available in the college, Infrastructural Facilities, Pride and respect for the college among parents to recommend for admissions. This Questionnaire was sent through a link of Google Form shared in the students' groups of Final Year to which 368 parents responded.

The Pie Charts and Analysis of the survey results and the action taken are as follows:

## Pie Charts

महाविद्यालय द्वारा प्रवेश प्रक्रिया में सहयोग

368 responses


## महाविद्यालय का माहौल

368 responses


आने जाने की दृष्टि से महाविद्यालय का स्थान

## 368 responses



- उत्कृष्ट
- बहुत अच्छा
- अच्छा
- संतोषजनक
- खराब

महाविद्यालय में सुरक्षा
368 responses


## महाविद्यालय में अनुशासन

368 responses


नियमित कक्षाएँCopy
368 responses


पढ़ाने की गुणवत्ता
368 responses


प्राध्यापकों से संपर्क में आसानी
368 responses


व्यक्तित्व विकास में सहायक
368 responses


- उत्कृष्ट
- बहुत अच्छा
- अच्छा
- संतोषजनक
- खराब

पढ़ाई के अतिरिक्त अन्य गतिविधियाँ
368 responses


परीक्षा प्रणाली
368 responses


लायब्ररी सुविधा
368 responses


- उत्कृष्ट
- बहुत अच्छा
- अच्छा
- संतोषजनक
- खराब

अन्य सुविधाएँCopy
368 responses


- उत्कृष्ट
- बहुत अच्छा
- अच्छा
- संतोषजनक
खराब

क्या आप अन्य व्यक्तियों को अपनी बेटी को इस महाविद्यालय में प्रवेश दिलवाने के लिए प्रोत्साहित करेंगेCopy 368 responses


## Parameter wise Analysis

## Cooperation in the admission process by the college

$34.0 \%$ Parents rated the level of cooperation by the college in the admission process as excellent.
29.0\% Parents rated the level of cooperation in the admission process by the college as very good.
$30.4 \%$ Parents rated the level of cooperation in the admission process by the college as good.

## College Atmosphere

29.3\%Parents rated the college atmosphere as excellent.
$33.6 \%$ Parents rated the college atmosphere as very good.
$30.6 \%$ Parents rated the college atmosphere as good.

## Location of college in terms of commuting

29.3\% Parents rated the location of the college as excellent in terms of commuting.
26.3\%Parents rated the location of the college as very good in terms of commuting.
$35.5 \%$ Parents rated the location of the college as good in terms of commuting.
8.1\% Parents rated the location of the college as satisfactory from the point of view of commuting.

## Safety in College

29.0\%Parents rated the level of security in college as excellent.
$32.5 \%$ Parents rated the security level in college as very good.
$30.6 \%$ Parents said that the security level in college as good.

## Discipline in College

29.8\% Parents rated the level of discipline in the college as excellent.
32.8\% Parents rated the level of discipline in the college as very good.
29.8\% Parents rated the level of discipline in the college as good.

## Regular Classes

31.4\%Parents rated the level of regular classes in the college as excellent.
27.4\%Parents rated the level of regular classes in the college as very good.
$30.1 \%$ Parents rated the level of regular classes in the college as good.
8.4\% Parents rated the level of regular classes in the college as satisfactory.

## Quality of Teaching

$35.2 \%$ Parents rated the quality of teaching in the college as excellent.
29.8\% Parents rated the quality of teaching in the college as very good.
29.5\% Parents rated the quality of teaching in the college as good.

## Ease of contact with professors

29.0\% Parents rated the level of ease of contact with professors in the college as excellent.
25.5\% Parents rated the level of ease of contact with professors in the college as very good.
$32 \%$ Parents rated the level of ease of contact with professors in the college as good.
$10.8 \%$ Parents rated the level of ease of contact with the professors in the college as satisfactory

Helpful in Personality Development
27.9\% Parents rated the level of assistance in personality development in the college as excellent.
27.9\% Parents rated the level of assistance in personality development in the college as very good.
34.7\% Parents rated the level of assistance in personality development in the college as good.
8.1\% Parents rated the level of assistance in personality development in the college as satisfactory

## Extracurricular Activities

$33.6 \%$ Parents rated the level of extracurricular activities in the college as excellent. 29.8\% Parents rated the level of extracurricular activities in the college as very good. 29.0\% Parents rated the level of extracurricular activities in the college as good.

## Examination System

35.2\%Parents rated examination system in the college as excellent.
29.5\% Parents rated examination system in the college as very good.
29.8\% Parents rated examination system in the college as good.

## Library Facility

31.4\% Parents rated the library facilities in the college as excellent.
33.6\% Parents rated the library facilities in the college as very good.
24.9\% Parents rated the library facilities in the college as good.

## Other Facilities

28.2\% Parents rated the level of other facilities in the college as excellent.
27.9\% Parents rated the level of other facilities in the college as very good.
$32.5 \%$ Parents rated the level of other facilities in the college as good.
9.5\% Parents rated the level of other facilities in the college as satisfactory

Recommend this College to other people for their daughters' admission in this college 94.9\% Parents responded that they will recommend this college to other people for the admission of their daughters

## Students' Feedback 2020-21

## Methodology

## Units of Study

The feedback survey was done for the year 2020-21 through online survey method for the Third Year of UG and Fourth Semester of PG Classes. The 0utgoing students of all the Disciplines were taken into consideration.

## Data Collection

A questionnaire in the form of a Google Form with closed ended questions on the curriculum, Teaching, Learning, Evaluation and other facilities of college was administered on the respondents.

The graphs obtained from the survey results are as follows:

2020-21

Academic Content of the Syllabus पाठ्यक्रम की विषयवस्तु
$\square$ Copy
266 responses



Excellent उत्कृष्ट

- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब


266 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट

Very Good बहुत अच्छा
Good अच्छा
Average सामान्य
Poor ख़राब


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा
- Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़राब

Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] (Internal Evaluation) सी सी ई
1 Copy (आतंरिक मूल्यांकन) के मोड

267 responses


Fairness in CCE Evaluation सी सी ई मूल्यांकन में उचित न्याय
267 responses


Library Facilities लायब्रेरी सुविधा
267 responses


Computer Facilities कम्प्यूटर सुविधा
267 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट

Very Good बहुत अच्छा

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य
Poor ख़राब

Questions Responses 267 Settings
गतिविधियाँ (खेलकूद, साहित्यिक, सांस्कृतिक )
267 responses


Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छ
Good अच्छा
Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब

NCC, NSS etc. एन सी सी, एन एस एस आदि
267 responses


Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छ

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब


Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छा

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य
Poor ख़राब

Toilets शौचालय
267 responses

Excellent उत्कृष्ट

- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य
Poor ख़राब
$23.2 \%$

## Analysis

## Academic Content

$35.2 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as excellent $32 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as very good $26.7 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as good $4.9 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as average

## Sequence of Units

$31.6 \%$ of the students rated the sequence of the units as excellent
$29.7 \%$ of the students rated the sequence of the units as very good
$32.7 \%$ of the students rated the sequence of the units as good
$5.6 \%$ of the students rated the sequence of the units as average

## Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes

28.8\% of the students rated the Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as excellent $35.6 \%$ of the students rated the Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as very good 29.2\% of the students rated the Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as good $5.2 \%$ of the students rated the Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as average

## Extent of Syllabus covered

$30.3 \%$ of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered as excellent $36 \%$ of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered as very good $23.6 \%$ of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered as good
$10.1 \%$ of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered as average
Utility of Syllabus in practical life
$30.7 \%$ of the students rated the Utility of Syllabus in practical life as excellent
$34.8 \%$ of the students rated the Utility of Syllabus in practical life as very good
27.7\% of the students rated the Utility of Syllabus in practical life as good
$5.2 \%$ of the students rated the Utility of Syllabus in practical life as average

## Level of teaching in class

$44.2 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as excellent $30 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as very good $19.5 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as good 5.6\% of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as average

## Level of interaction in class

$30.1 \%$ of the students rated the Level of interaction in class as excellent
$30.5 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as very good
26.7\% of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as good
$5.6 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as average

## Online Education Facility

$34.1 \%$ of the students rated the Online Education Facility as excellent
$35.2 \%$ of the students rated the Online Education Facility as very good
19.9\% of the students rated the Online Education Facility as good
$9.7 \%$ of the students rated the Online Education Facility as average
Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] (Internal Evaluation)
$35.6 \%$ of the students rated the Modes of CCE as excellent
$33 \%$ of the students rated the Modes of CCE as very good
$24.3 \%$ of the students rated the Modes of CCE as good
6\% of the students rated the Modes of CCE as average

## Library Facilities

30.7\% of the students rated Library Facilities as Excellent 29.2\% of the students rated Library Facilities as Very Good 24.3 \% of the students rated Library Facilities as Good 10.9 \% of the students rated Library Facilities as Average 4\% of the students rated Library Facilities as Poor

## Computer Facilities

$18.7 \%$ of the students rated Computer Facilities as Excellent 22.8 \% of the students rated Computer Facilities as Very Good 32.2 \% of the students rated Computer Facilities as Good 19.5 \% of the students rated Computer Facilities as Average $6.7 \%$ of the students rated Computer Facilities as Poor Extra-Curricular Facilities (Sports, Literary and Cultural activities) 30.3 \% of the students rated Extra Curricular Facilities as Excellent 24.7 \% of the students rated Extra Curricular Facilities as Very Good 28.5 \% of the students rated Extra Curricular Facilities as Good 13.1 \% of the students rated Extra Curricular Facilities as Average NCC, NSS etc.

36 \% of the students rated NCC, NSS etc. as Excellent $30 \%$ of the students rated NCC, NSS etc. as Very Good 27.3 \% of the students rated NCC, NSS etc. as Good Drinking Water Facility
24.7 \% of the students rated Drinking Water Facility as Excellent $27 \%$ of the students rated Drinking Water Facility as Very Good 27.7 \% of the students rated Drinking Water Facility as Good 15.7 \% of the students rated Drinking Water Facility as Average

## Toilets

23.2 \% of the students rated Toiletsas Excellent
27.3 \% of the students rated Toilets as Very Good
$34.5 \%$ of the students rated Toilets as Good
11.6 \% of the students rated Toilets as Average

## Parents' Feedback

## 2020-21

The Online questionnaire to get the feedback of parents contained questions on admission process, college environment, location, safety of wards, discipline, regularity of classes, examination system, library facilities, extra-curricular activities, personality development, other facilities, preference for the institution, problems and suggestions.

The Graphs and Analysis of the survey results are as follows:
महाविद्यालय द्वारा प्रवेश प्रक्रिया में सहयोग
158 responses


महाविद्यालय का माहौल
158 responses


आने जाने की दृष्टि से महाविद्यालय का स्थान
158 responses


महाविद्यालय में सुरक्षा
158 responses


## पढ़ाने की गुणवत्ता

158 responses


- उत्कृष्ट
- बहुत अच्छा
- अच्छा

संतोषजनक

- खराब

प्राध्यापकों से संपर्क में आसानी
158 responses


O उत्कृष्ट
बहुत अच्छा

- अच्छा

संतोषजनक
खराब

## महाविद्यालय में अनुशासन

158 responses


नियमित कक्षाएँ
158 responses


परीक्षा प्रणाली
158 responses


लायब्ररी सुविधा
158 responses


उत्कृष्ट

- बहुत अच्छा
- अच्छा

संतोषजनक
खराब

व्यक्तित्व विकास में सहायक
158 responses


- उत्कृष्ट

बहुत अच्छा

- अच्छा

संतोषजनक
खराब

पढ़ाई के अतिरिक्त अन्य गतिविधियाँ
158 responses


- उत्कृष्ट
- बहुत अच्छा
- अच्छा

संतोषजनक
खराब

अन्य सुविधाएँ
158 responses


- उत्कृष्ट

बहुत अच्छा
अच्छा
संतोषजनक
खराब

क्या आप अन्य व्यक्तियों को अपनी बेटी को इस महाविद्यालय में प्रवेश दिलवाने के लिए प्रोत्साहित करेंगे
158 responses


Over all 158 parents responded to the online Questionnaire administered in the form of Google Form. Of these few parents mentioned problems of drinking water, library rules of issuing of limited books for limited time, transport facilities and online classes. On the whole majority of the parents gave positive feedback to most of the parameters and were highly satisfied with the institution.

## Teachers’ Feedback 2020-21

## Methodology

## Units of Study

Seventy teachers took part in the feedback process and this way a census survey was conducted. The respondents included Professors, Associate Professors, Assistant Professors, Librarian and Sports Officer of Govt. Girls' PG College, Ujjain.

## Data Collection

A questionnaire in the form of a Google Form with closed ended questions on the curriculum and reference material was administered on the respondents.

## Tool of survey

Most of the questions in the Google Survey Form included a 4-point scale with Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree and Strongly Disagree as the options. Very few questions included a polar question with yes, no or may be options. The variables in the study included need-based nature of the syllabus, updated content, inclusion of POs and CSOs, academic flexibility, critical thinking, employability, well-structured units, relevance and availability of the reference material in both languages.

The graphs obtained from the survey results are as follows:
Designation
70 responses


Professor
Associate Professor Assistant Professor Full Time Faculty

1. Current Syllabus is need based
(1) Copy 70 responses


O Strongly Agree

- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

70 responses

$O$ Yes
O No

- Maybe

3. Programme Specific Outcomes and Course Specific Outcomes are included ■ Copy appropriately

70 responses


O Strongly Agree
O Agree

- Disagree

O Strongly Disagree
4. Curriculum has good academic flexibility
( Copy
70 responses


## 5. Curriculum helps in developing critical thinking

70 responses


- Strongly Agree

O Agree
Strongly Disagree
O Disagree
6. Curriculum enables employability
(1) Copy

70 responses
(
7. The units of syllabus are well structured.
$\square$ Copy
69 responses


- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
- Strongly disagree

8. The books listed as reference materials are relevant and appropriate
$\square$ Copy
70 responses

O Strongly Agree

- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

9. Sufficient number or books on the course are available in Hindi

70 responses


O Yes
O No

- May be

O Not needed

- Some pg papers books was not available
O In Biotechnology mostly foreign author books I prefer so we have to do translate the topic for Hindi medium translate the
students.

10. Sufficient number or books on the course are available in English

70 responses

$\square$ Copy
11. Need to revise Syllabus

70 responses


## Analysis of Data

- $80 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that the Current Syllabus is need based
- $12.9 \%$ of the teachers agree that the course Current Syllabus is need based
- $7.1 \%$ of the teachers disagree with the point that Current Syllabus is need based
- 78.9 \% of the teachers strongly agree that Curriculum is having current/updated content
- $8.6 \%$ of the teachers do not agree with the fact that Curriculum is having current/updated content
- $12.9 \%$ of the teachers are not sure whether the Curriculum is having current/updated content
- $24.3 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that Programme Specific Outcomes and Course Specific Outcomes are included appropriately
- 72.9\% of the teachers agree that Programme Specific Outcomes and Course Specific Outcomes are included appropriately
- $54.2 \%$ of the teachers agree that Course Content fulfills the needs of students
- $22.9 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that Curriculum has good academic flexibility
- $67.1 \%$ of the teachers agree that Curriculum has good academic flexibility
- $10 \%$ of the teachers disagree with the point that Curriculum has good academic flexibility
- $24.3 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that Curriculum helps in developing critical thinking
- $70 \%$ of the teachers agree that Curriculum helps in developing critical thinking
- A small percentage have strong and moderate disagreements with the point that Curriculum helps in developing critical thinking
- $10 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that Curriculum enables employability
- $78.6 \%$ of the teachers agree that Curriculum enables employability
- $11.4 \%$ of the teachers disagree with the idea that Curriculum enables employability
- $10.1 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that the units of syllabus are well structured
- $72.5 \%$ of the teachers agree that the units of syllabus are well structured
- $11.6 \%$ of the teachers disagree with the idea that the units of syllabus are well structured
- $24.3 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that the books listed as reference materials are relevant and appropriate
- $67.1 \%$ of the teachers agree that the books listed as reference materials are relevant and appropriate
- $8.6 \%$ of the teachers disagree that the books listed as reference materials are relevant and appropriate
- $60 \%$ of the teachers agree that Sufficient number or books on the course are available in Hindi
- $22.9 \%$ of the teachers disagree that sufficient number or books on the course are available in Hindi
- $12.9 \%$ of the teachers are not sure whether sufficient number or books on the course are available in Hindi
- $61.4 \%$ of the teachers agree that Sufficient number of books on the course are available in English
- $22.9 \%$ of the teachers disagree that sufficient number of books on the course are available in English
- $15.7 \%$ of the teachers are not sure whether sufficient number of books on the course are available in English
- $24.3 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that the books listed as reference materials are relevant and appropriate
- $67.1 \%$ of the teachers agree that the books listed as reference materials are relevant and appropriate
- $8.6 \%$ of the teachers disagree that the books listed as reference materials are relevant and appropriate
- $12.9 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that there is a need to revise Syllabus
- $77.1 \%$ of the teachers agree that there is a need to revise Syllabus
- $10 \%$ of the teachers disagree that there is any need to revise Syllabus


## Alumni Feedback 2020-21

An online survey was conducted to get the feedback of the Alumni to gauge the responses on the curriculum after going in the outer world with more autonomy. A Google form containing questions on academic content, sequence of units, prior knowledge of outcomes, extent of syllabus covered in the class, level of teaching in the class, practical utility of the subject, level of interaction in class, modes of CCE and fairness in CCE evaluation etc.

Eighty Five alumni responded to this Questionnaire. The Graphs and Analysis of the responses are as follows:

Academic Content of the Syllabus पाठ्यक्रम की विषयवस्तु
$\amalg$ Copy
85 responses


85 responses


```
- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छा
-Good अच्छा
Average सामान्य
Poor ख़राब
```


## Prior knowledge of Course Outcomes कोर्स से मिलने वाले लाभ का पूर्व ज्ञान

## 85 responses



Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छा

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब

Extent of syllabus covered in class कक्षा में सिलेबस को पूर्ण करने का स्तर
85 responses


Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छा

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब

Utility of syllabus in practical life व्यावहारक जीवन मे पाठ्यक्रम का उपयागतता
85 responses


- Excellent उत्कृष्ट
- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा
Average सामान्य
- Poor ख़राब

Level of Teaching in class टीचर के पढ़ाने का स्तरCopy
85 responses


Excellent उत्कृष्ट

- Very Good बहुत अच्छा
- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब


## Level of interaction in class कक्षा में चर्चा का स्तर

ப Copy
85 responses


Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छा
Good अच्छा
Average सामान्य
Poor ख़राब

Easy to contact teacher outside class कक्षा से बाहर टीचर से संपर्क की सुविधाCopy

85 responses


Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छा

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य
Poor ख़राब

Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] (Internal Evaluation) सी सी ईCopy (आतंरिक मूल्यांकन) के मोड

85 responses


Excellent उत्कृष्ट
Very Good बहुत अच्छा

- Good अच्छा

Average सामान्य

- Poor ख़राब

85 responses


## Analysis

## Academic Content

52.9 \% of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as excellent 28.2 \% of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as very good 12.9 \% of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as good $4 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as average

## Sequence of Units

32.8 \% of the students rated the sequence of the units as excellent
32.9 \% of the students rated the sequence of the units as very good
23.5 \% of the students rated the sequence of the units as good
$4 \%$ of the students rated the sequence of the units as average

## Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes

37.6 \% of the students rated the Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as excellent 29.4 \% of the students rated the Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as very good 25.9 \% of the students rated the Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as good $7 \%$ of the students rated the Prior Knowledge of Course Outcomes as average

## Extent of Syllabus covered

$40 \%$ of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered as excellent
29.4 \% of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered as very good
21.2 \% of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered as good
8.2 \% of the students rated the Extent of Syllabus covered as average

## Utility of Syllabus in practical life

38.8 \% of the students rated the Utility of Syllabus in practical life as excellent
27.1 \% of the students rated the Utility of Syllabus in practical life as very good
27.1 \% of the students rated the Utility of Syllabus in practical life as good
$5 \%$ of the students rated the Utility of Syllabus in practical life as average

## Level of teaching in class

$42.4 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as excellent
35.3 \% of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as very good $18 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as good

## Level of interaction in class

35.3 \% of the students rated the Level of interaction in class as excellent
$30.6 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as very good
29.4 \% of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as good

Modes of CCE [Continuous Comprehensive Evaluation] (Internal Evaluation)
49.4 \% of the students rated the Modes of CCE as excellent
34.7 \% of the students rated the Modes of CCE as very good
14.1 \% of the students rated the Modes of CCE as good Fairness in CCE Evaluation सीसीईमूल्यांकनमेंउचितन्याय
44.7 \% of the students rated level of fairness in CCE as excellent
24.7 \% of the students rated level of fairness in CCE as very good
25.9 \% of the students rated level of fairness in CCE as good

Table 3.1
Student Sample Profile

| S.No. | Faculty | Total Number of Students <br> (Last Semester) | No. of Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BA | 163 | $78(48)$ |
| 2 | BSc | 210 | $92(44)$ |
| 3 | BCom | 124 | $54(44)$ |
| 4 | BHSc | 17 | $7(41)$ |
| 5 | MA | 52 | $23(44)$ |
| 6 | MCom | 24 | $10(42)$ |
| 7 | MSc | 6 | $3(0.5)$ |
| Total |  | 596 | $267(45)$ |

## Note: Percentage in Parentheses

Source: Admission Lists for Total No. of Students

## Graph 1 <br> Sampling Distribution Across Programmes

2019-20


Source: Table 3.1

## Survey Tool

A Structured Questionnaire was administered on the sample. It consisted of 13 parameters under the Core Headings of Academic Content, Teaching, Learning and Evaluation, Extra Curricular Activities and Extension Activities, Library and IT Facilities. It also consisted of open-ended questions on Problems and Suggestions. In order to get better reflections from the students the Five Point Likert Scale of Agreement was replaced with Five Point Scoring from Very Good to Very Poor ranging from Score 5 to 1.

Govt. Girls' P.G. College, Uijain Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) Students' Feedback Form

Code $\qquad$

Course: B.A./BSc/BHSc/BCom

Class: $\qquad$

Year: $\qquad$

Instructions: Give your scores

| 5 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |


| S.No. Parameter | Score |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Academic Content of Syllabus |  |
| 2 | Relevance of Syllabus |  |
| 3 | Unit wise distribution and sequence of the Syllabus |  |
| 4 | Semester wise Sequence of the Course |  |
| 5 | Real life application of Experiments/Practicals |  |
| 6 | Evaluation modes for the CCE |  |
| 7 | Class room teaching |  |
| 8 | Interaction level in the classroom |  |
| 9 | Mentoring/personal contact with the faculty |  |
| 10 | Library facilities |  |
| 11 | Computer/ICT facilities |  |
| 12 | Extra Curricular Activities |  |
| 13 | Extension Activities- NSS/NCC/Red Cross/Sports etc. |  |

14 Problems (if any): $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


15 Suggestions for improvement (if any): $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Table 3.2
Parameter wise Classification of Feedback

| C | Parameter | Very Good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Academic Content of Syllabus | 85(37.62) | 120(53.09) | 21(9.29) | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Relevance of Syllabus | 88(39) | 108(48) | 30(13) | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Unit wise Distribution | 140(61.94) | 68(30.1) | 18(7.96) | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Semester wise Sequence | 128(56.63) | 68(30.1) | 30(13.27) | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Real Life Application of Experiments | 90(39.82) | 83(36.73) | 53(23.45) | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Evaluation Mode of the CCE | 135(59.73) | 58(25.67) | 33(14.6) | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Teaching in Class | 157(69.46) | 46(20.36) | 23(10.18) | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Student-Teacher Interaction | 122(54) | 85(38) | 19(8) | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Mentoring/Personal Contact | 84(37.17) | 100(44.25) | 30(13.27) | 12(5.31) | 0 |
| 10 | Library Facilities | 52(23) | 98 (43.30) | 72(31.9) | 04(1.8) | 0 |
| 11 | Computer/IT <br> Facilities | 104(46) | 113(50) | 06(2.66) | 03(1.34) | 0 |
| 11 | Extra Curricular | 136(60.17) | 85(37.62) | 05(2.21) | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | NCC/NSS/Red Cross Sports Activities | 142(62.83) | 81(35.85) | 03(1.32) | 0 | 0 |

Graph 2
Parameter wise Classification of Feedback


Source: Table 3.2

## Graph 3

## Parameter-wise Percentage of Responses



Source: On the basis of Table 3.2

## Analysis

In all, 267 students constituted the sample size of 596 total students of the last semesters of UG and PG. Thus, 45\% of the students of the total outgoing students participated in the survey as respondents. The data on Satisfaction Level can be broadly clubbed in four groups of (i) Curriculum or Syllabus (ii) Teaching Learning and Evaluation (iii) Academic Support Facilities and (iv) Extra Curricular, Sports and Extension Activities.

The major findings of the survey can be summed up as follows:

## Curriculum and Syllabus:

1. Higher number of responses fall in the category of Good for the Academic Content of Syllabus and Relevance of Syllabus.
2. Unit wise distribution and Semester wise Sequence have been rated as Very Good by substantial number of students
3. Real Life Application of Experiments spreads across all three categories Very Good, Good and Average almost evenly, however this is the only Parameter for which the scoring in Average category is the highest in this group.

## Teaching Learning and Evaluation

1. Teaching in Class and Interaction in Class have been rated more in Very Good category highly
2. Mentoring and Personal Contact fall more in the Good Category. This category also scores points in the Poor Category.
3. Evaluation Mode of CCE is rated Very Good by significant number of respondents

## Academic Support Facilities

1. Library Facilities have been rated as good rather than Very Good by higher number of students. This Parameter scores the highest scores in Average Category and also a minimal score in Poor Category.
2. Computer and IT facilities score almost evenly across Very Good and Good categories, slightly in Average and minimally in Poor Categories

## Extra-Curricular, Sports and Extension Activities

1. Extra-Curricular, Sports Activities score substantially high scores in Very Good Category and reasonable scores in Good Category
2. Extension Activities scores high in Very Good Category and substantial scores in Good Category.

## Teachers' Feedback 2019-20

A sample of 48 teachers responded to the

Designation
48 responses


Professor
Associate Professor
Assistant Professor
Govt. Full Time Faculty
Self-Financed Full Time Faculty



Course Content is well defined and structured
$\square$ Copy
48 responses


Curriculum has good academic flexibility $\square$ Copy
48 responses


## Analysis

The Vision and Mission of the College are clear to $96 \%$ of the teachers
$54.2 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that the course outcomes are clear to them $43.8 \%$ of the teachers agree that the course outcomes are clear to them $45.8 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that Course Content is well defined and structured
$54.2 \%$ of the teachers agree that Course Content is well defined and structured 41.7\% of the teachers strongly agree that Course Content fulfills the needs of students $54.2 \%$ of the teachers agree that Course Content fulfills the needs of students $4.2 \%$ of the teachers disagree with the point that Course Content fulfills the needs of students
31.3\% of the teachers strongly agree that Syllabus needs review

50\% of the teachers agree that Syllabus needs review
$18.8 \%$ of the teachers disagree with the idea that syllabus needs review
$31.3 \%$ of the teachers strongly agree that Curriculum has good academic flexibility 60.4\% of the teachers agree that Curriculum has good academic flexibility $8.3 \%$ of the teachers disagree with the point that Curriculum has good academic flexibility

## Alumni Feedback 2019-20

A Survey was conducted for Alumni in the year 2019-20
The Questionnaire contained questions related to the Teaching-Learning environment, Quality of Teachers and Monitoring of students progress, Extension Activities like sports and cultural, NSS, NCC, etc and Personality Development of Students, Support Services like canteen, hostel, career guidance etc. and Discipline, Learning, Resources like library, computer, internet facilities available in the college, Infrastructural Facilities, Pride and respect for the college among alumni and their Alumni to which 121 Alumni responded. The Table, Graph and Analysis of the survey results are as follows:

Table 3.3

| 2019-20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alumni Feedlback |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.No. | Parameter | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Average | Poor |
| 1 | Teaching-Learning Environment | 49 (40.49) | 30 (24.79) | 28 (23.14) | 7 (5.78) | 7 (5.78) |
| 2 | Quality of Teachers | 53 (43.8) | 38 (31.40) | 16 (13.22) | 5 (4.13) | 9 (7.43) |
| 3 | Monitoring of Student Progress | 26 (21.48) | 38 (31.40) | 33 (27.27) | 24 (19.83) | 0 (0) |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Extension Activities } \\ \text { (Sports, Cultural } \\ \text { Activities, NSS, NCC etc.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 45 (37.19) | 41 (33.88) | 21 (17.35) | 7 (5.78) | 7 (5.78) |
| 5 | Personality Development of Students | 32 (26.44) | 33 (27.27) | 30 (24.79) | 19 (15.70) | 7 (5.78) |
| 6 | Support Services (Canteen, Hostel, Career Guidance etc.) | 8 (6.61) | 19 (15.70) | 38 (31.40) | 38 (31.40) | 18 (14.87) |
| 7 | Discipline | 44 (36.36) | 35 (28.92) | 26 (21.48) | 9 (7.43) | 7 (5.78) |
| 8 | Learning, Resources (Library, Computer, Internet) | 17 (14.04) | 21 (17.35) | 41 (33.88) | 37 (30.57) | 5 (4.13) |
| 9 | Infrastructural Facilities | 29 (23.96) | 26 (21.48) | 43 (35.53) | 22 (18.18) | 1 (0.82) |
| 10 | Pride and respect for the College among Alumni | 43 (35.53) | 39 (32.23) | 24 (19.83) | 7 (5.78) | 8 (6.61) |

Source: Primary Survey


Source: Table 3.3

## Analysis

## Teaching-Learning Environment

40.49\% of the Alumni rated the teaching-learning environment as excellent 24.79\% of the Alumni rated the teaching-learning environment as very good
23.14\% of the Alumni rated the teaching-learning environment as good
5.78\% of the Alumni rated the teaching-learning environment as average
$5.78 \%$ of the Alumni rated the teaching-learning environment as poor

## Quality of Teachers

$43.8 \%$ of the Alumni rated the quality of teachers as excellent
$31.40 \%$ of the students rated the quality of teachers as very good
$13.22 \%$ of the students rated the quality of teachers as good
4.13\% of the students rated the quality of teachers as average
$7.43 \%$ of the Alumni rated the quality of teachers as poor

## Monitoring of Student Progress

$21.48 \%$ of the Alumni rated the monitoring of student progress as excellent $31.40 \%$ of the Alumni rated the monitoring of student progress as very good 27.27\% of the Alumni rated the monitoring of student progress as good $19.83 \%$ of the Alumni rated the monitoring of student progress as average

## Extension activities (Sports, Cultural Activities, NSS, NCC Etc.)

$37.19 \%$ of the Alumni rated the extension activities (sports, cultural activities, NSS, NCC etc.) as excellent
$33.88 \%$ of the Alumni rated the extension activities (sports, cultural activities, NSS, NCC etc.) as very good
$17.35 \%$ of the Alumni rated the extension activities(sports, cultural activities, NSS, NCC etc.) as good
$5.78 \%$ of the Alumni rated the extension activities (sports, cultural activities, NSS, NCC etc.) as average
$5.78 \%$ of the Alumni rated the extension activities (sports, cultural activities, NSS, NCC etc.) as poor

## Personality Development of Students

26.44\% of the Alumni rated personality development of students as excellent
27.27\% of the Alumni rated personality development of students asvery good
$24.79 \%$ of the Alumni rated personality development of students asgood
$15.70 \%$ of the Alumni rated personality development of students asaverage
5.78\% of the Alumni rated personality development of students aspoor

## Support Services (Canteen, Hostel, Career, Guidance etc.)

$6.61 \%$ of the Alumni rated the support services (Canteen, Hostel, Career, Guidance etc.) as excellent
$15.70 \%$ of the Alumni rated the support services (Canteen, Hostel, Career, Guidance etc.) as very good
$31.40 \%$ of the Alumni rated the support services (Canteen, Hostel, Career, Guidance etc.) as good
$31.40 \%$ of the Alumni rated the support services (Canteen, Hostel, Career, Guidance etc.) as average
$14.87 \%$ of the Alumni rated the support services (Canteen, Hostel, Career, Guidance etc.) as poor

## Discipline

$36.36 \%$ of the Alumni rated the discipline as excellent
$28.92 \%$ of the Alumni rated the discipline as very good
$21.48 \%$ of the Alumni rated the discipline as good
$7.43 \%$ of the Alumni rated the discipline as average
5.78\% of the Alumni rated the discipline as poor

## Learning, Resources (Library, Computer, Internet)

$14.04 \%$ of the Alumni rated the learning, resources (library, computer, internet) as excellent 17.35\% of the Alumni rated the learning, resources (library, computer, internet) as very good 33.88\% of the Alumni rated the learning, resources (library, computer, internet) as good $30.57 \%$ of the Alumni rated the learning, resources (library, computer, internet) as average 4.13\% of the Alumni rated the learning, resources (library, computer, internet) as poor Infrastructural Facilities
$23.96 \%$ of the Alumni rated the infrastructural facilities as excellent
21.48\% of the Alumni rated the infrastructural facilities as very good
$35.53 \%$ of the Alumni rated the infrastructural facilities as good
18.18\% of the Alumni rated the infrastructural facilities as average
$0.82 \%$ of the Alumni rated the infrastructural facilities as poor
Pride and Respect for the College among Alumni and Parents
$35.53 \%$ of the Alumni rated the pride and respect for the college among Alumni as excellent $32.23 \%$ of the Alumni rated the pride and respect for the college among Alumni as very good 19.83\% of the Alumni rated the pride and respect for the college among Alumni as good $5.78 \%$ of the Alumni rated the pride and respect for the college among Alumni as average $6.61 \%$ of the Alumni rated the pride and respect for the college among Alumni as poor

## Student Feedback 2018-19

A survey was conducted for the students and parents in the year 2018-19.
The Questionnaire contained questions related to the Curriculum, Teaching Learning and Evaluation and the facilities available in the college, problems and suggestions etc. to which 226 students responded. The Table, Graph and Analysis of the survey results are as follows:

Table 2

| Parameter wise Classification of Student Feedback |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\% in Parentheses) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^1]

Source: Table 2

## Analysis

## Academic Content of Syllabus

$37.62 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as very good
$53.09 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as good
$9.29 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as average

## Relevance of Syllabus

$39 \%$ of the students rated the relevance of syllabus as very good $48 \%$ of the students rated the relevance of syllabus as good $13 \%$ of the students rated the relevance of syllabus as average

## Unit Wise Distribution

$61.94 \%$ of the students rated the unit wise distributionas very good $30.1 \%$ of the students rated the unit wise distribution as good 7.96\% of the students rated the unit wise distribution as average
$56.63 \%$ of the students rated the semester wise sequence as very good
$30.1 \%$ of the students rated the semester wise sequence as good
$13.27 \%$ of the students rated the semester wise sequence as average

## Real Life Application of Experiments

$39.82 \%$ of the students rated the real life application of experiments as very good
$36.73 \%$ of the students rated the real life application of experiments as good
$23.45 \%$ of the students rated the real life application of experiments as average

## Evaluation Mode of the CCE

$59.73 \%$ of the students rated the evaluation mode of the CCE as very good
$25.67 \%$ of the students rated the evaluation mode of the CCE as good
$14.6 \%$ of the students rated the evaluation mode of the CCE as average

## Teaching in Class

69.46\% of the students rated the teaching in class as very good
20.36\% of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as good
$10.18 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as average

## Student-Teacher Interaction

$54 \%$ of the students rated the student-teacher interaction as very good
$38 \%$ of the students rated the student-teacher interaction as good
$8 \%$ of the students rated the student-teacher interaction as average

## Mentoring/Personal Contact

$37.17 \%$ of the students rated the mentoring/personal contact as very good
$44.25 \%$ of the students rated the mentoring/personal contact as good
$13.27 \%$ of the students rated the mentoring/personal contact as average
5.31\% of the students rated the mentoring/personal contact as poor

## Library Facilities

$23 \%$ of the students rated the Library Facilities as Very Good
43.30\% of the students rated the Library Facilities as Good
$31.90 \%$ of the students rated the Library Facilities as Average
$1.8 \%$ of the students rated the Library Facilities as Poor

## Extra-Curricular Activities

60.17 \% of the students rated the extra-curricular as Very Good
37.62 \% of the students rated the extra-curricular as Good
2.21 \% of the students rated the extra-curricular as Average

## NCC/NSS Activities

62.83\% of the students rated NCC/NSS activities as Very Good 35.85\% of the students rated NCC/NSS activities as Good
1.32 \% of the students rated the extra-curricular as Average Computer/IT Facilities
$46 \%$ of the students rated the computer/IT facilities Very Good $50 \%$ of the students rated the computer/IT facilities as Good
2.66\% of the students rated the computer/IT facilities as Average
$1.34 \%$ of the students rated the computer/IT facilities as poor

## Students' Feedback 2017-18

A Feedback survey was conducted for the students in the year 2017-18.
The Questionnaire contained questions related to the Curriculum, Teaching Learning and Evaluation and the facilities available in the college, problems and suggestions etc. to which 267 students responded. The table, Graph and Analysis of the survey results are as follows:

## Table 1

2017-18

| Parameter wise Classification of Student Feedback |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | (\% in Parentheses) |  |
| S.No. | Parameter | Very Good | Good | Average | Poor | Very <br> Poor |
| 1 | Academic Content of Syllabus | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ (36.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ (49.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (13.85) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Relevance of Syllabus | $\begin{gathered} 105 \\ (39.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ (50.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (10.11) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Unit wise Distribution | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ (56.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (37.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (6.74) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Semester wise Sequence | $\begin{gathered} 148 \\ (55.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ (34.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (10.48) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Real Life Application of Experiments | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ (40.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (46.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (13.1) \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | Evaluation Mode of the CCE | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ (60.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ (26.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (12.35) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Teaching in Class | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ (68.53) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ (23.22) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (7.86) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Student-Teacher Interaction | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ (50.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104 \\ (38.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (10.48) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Mentoring/Personal Contact | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (37.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ (46.81) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (14.23) \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 0 |
| 10 | Library Facilities | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ (36.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ (44.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ (16.1) \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 0 |
| 11 | Extra-Curricular Activities | $\begin{gathered} 142 \\ (53.18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ (41.19) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (5.61) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | NCC/NSS Activities | $\begin{gathered} 175 \\ (65.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ (30.71) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (3.74) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Computer/IT Facilities | $\begin{gathered} 121 \\ (45.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ (50.56) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8 (2.99) | 3 | 0 |

Source: Primary Survey


Source: Table 1

## Analysis

## Academic Content of Syllabus

$36.7 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as very good
49.43\% of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as good
$13.85 \%$ of the students rated the academic content of the syllabus as average

## Relevance of Syllabus

$39.32 \%$ of the students rated the relevance of syllabus as very good
$50.56 \%$ of the students rated the relevance of syllabus as good
$10.11 \%$ of the students rated the relevance of syllabus as average

## Unit Wise Distribution

56.17\% of the students rated the unit wise distributionas very good
$37.07 \%$ of the students rated the unit wise distribution as good
$6.74 \%$ of the students rated the unit wise distribution as average

## Semester wise Sequence

$55.43 \%$ of the students rated the semester wise sequence as very good
$34.08 \%$ of the students rated the semester wise sequence as good
$10.48 \%$ of the students rated the semester wise sequence as average

## Real Life Application of Experiments

$40.07 \%$ of the students rated the real life application of experiments as very good
46.06\% of the students rated the real life application of experiments as good $13.1 \%$ of the students rated the real life application of experiments as average $2 \%$ of the students rated the real life application of experiments as poor

## Evaluation Mode of the CCE

$60.67 \%$ of the students rated the evaluation mode of the CCE as very good
26.96\% of the students rated the evaluation mode of the CCE as good
$12.35 \%$ of the students rated the evaluation mode of the CCE as average
Teaching in Class
$68.53 \%$ of the students rated the teaching in class as very good
23.22\% of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as good
$7.86 \%$ of the students rated the Level of teaching in class as average

## Student-Teacher Interaction

$50.56 \%$ of the students rated the student-teacher interaction as very good
$38.95 \%$ of the students rated the student-teacher interaction as good
$10.48 \%$ of the students rated the student-teacher interaction as average

## Mentoring/Personal Contact

$37.07 \%$ of the students rated the mentoring/personal contact as very good
46.81\% of the students rated the mentoring/personal contact as good
$14.23 \%$ of the students rated the mentoring/personal contact as average
5\% of the students rated the mentoring/personal contact as poor

## Library Facilities

36.70\% of the students rated the Library Facilities as Very Good
44.94\% of the students rated the Library Facilities as Good
16.1\% of the students rated the Library Facilities as Average 6\% of the students rated the Library Facilities as Poor

## Extra-Curricular

53.18 \% of the students rated the extra-curricular as Very Good
41.19 \% of the students rated the extra-curricular as Good
5.61 \% of the students rated the extra-curricular as Average

NCC/NSS Activities
65.45\% of the students rated NCC/NSS activities as Very Good
30.71\% of the students rated NCC/NSS activities as Good
3.74 \% of the students rated the extra-curricular as Average

## Computer/IT Facilities

45.31\% of the students rated the computer/IT facilities Very Good
$50.56 \%$ of the students rated the computer/IT facilities as Good
2.99\% of the students rated the computer/IT facilities as Average
$3 \%$ of the students rated the computer/IT facilities as poor


[^0]:    68 responses

[^1]:    Source: Primary Survey

